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Abstract
It is suggested that previous data indicate 3 major epidemics of kala-azar in Assam between 1875 and 1950, with inter-epidemic periods of 30-45 and 20 years. This deviates from the popular view of regular cycles with a 10-20 year period. A deterministic mathematical model of kala-azar is used to find the simplest explanation for the timing of the 3 epidemics, paying particular attention to the role of extrinsic (drugs, natural disasters, other infectious diseases) versus intrinsic (host and vector dynamics, birth and death rates, immunity) processes in provoking the second. We conclude that, whilst widespread influenza in 1918-1919 may have magnified the second epidemic, intrinsic population processes provide the simplest explanation for its timing and synchrony throughout Assam. The model also shows that the second inter-epidemic period is expected to be shorter than the first, even in the absence of extrinsic agents, and highlights the importance of a small fraction of patients becoming chronically infectious (with post kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis) after treatment during an epidemic.

Introduction
A century of speculation about pattern and process has placed cycles of kala-azar—visceral human leishmaniasis due to Leishmania donovani—among the legends of tropical epidemiology (ROGERS, 1908; MCCOMBIE YOUNG, 1924; NAPIER, 1943; SHORTT, 1945; SEN GUPTA, 1951; PETERS & PRASAD, 1983; MANSON-BAHR & APTEP, 1982; SANYAL, 1985; ASHFORD & BETTINI, 1987). All of these authorities, from NAPIER (1943) onwards, have agreed upon a 10-20 year periodicity in Assam Province between 1875 and 1950. The most recent review (ASHFORD & BETTINI, 1987) recognizes 5 epidemic peaks at 1885, 1897, 1913, 1925 and 1944 in the Goalpara District, and consequently a mean inter-epidemic period of about 15 years. Apparently, insecticides have had a substantial impact on kala-azar in Assam since 1949, but few data are available (SEN GUPTA, 1951; SANYAL et al., 1979).

The search for regular intervals between epidemics—the product of intrinsic population processes—implicitly contradicts the view, expressed most confidently by MCCOMBIE YOUNG (1924), that outbreaks were precipitated by extrinsic agents—particularly the influenza pandemic of 1918-1919. The conflict of opinion is acknowledged by most writers on the subject, but has not been satisfactorily resolved.

In this paper we contribute to the debate by studying the behaviour of a mathematical model whose components distil the essentials of kala-azar epidemiology. We first present the data on kala-azar in Assam given by ROGERS (1908), MCCOMBIE YOUNG (1924) and SEN GUPTA (1951), identifying major epidemics and the associated inter-epidemic periods. Then, investigating non-equilibrium dynamics over a 75 year period, we look for the most parsimonious explanation of the observed pattern of epidemics. Our main conclusion emerges from a comparison of kala-azar dynamics at two spatial levels, each of the Districts and then the whole Province. At the heart of the analysis is the question of whether epidemics of kala-azar were driven primarily by intrinsic or extrinsic processes.

The Data
The first recorded Assam epidemic began in the early 1880's in the Garo Hills, and proceeded eastwards along the Brahmaputra valley (Fig. 1). By 1900 it had travelled 200-300 miles through the Districts of Goalpara, Kamrup, Nowgong and Darrang (ROGERS, 1908; MCCOMBIE YOUNG, 1924; SEN GUPTA, 1951; Fig. 2). Its impact in these four Districts was devastating and generated, among the other disasters of the period, a marked rise in the fever death rate. Clinical diagnosis was unreliable in these early years (ROGERS, 1908; NAPIER, 1926), but it is said that one-third of the population of Nowgong lost their lives in the epidemic of 1892-1898 (SEN GUPTA, 1951). There then followed, in each District, a 'quiescent phase' (MCCOMBIE YOUNG, 1924); cases were widespread but the incidence was generally low. ASHFORD & BETTINI (1987) associated the 1897 peak in fever death rate in Goalpara with kala-azar, but ROGERS (1908) attributed it to famine and earthquake, without specifically mentioning kala-azar. The 1913 outbreak in Goalpara was apparently a local, minor precursor to a second epidemic which struck with greatest force in the early 1920s.

This second major epidemic, and the third, occurred synchronously in all affected Districts (the above, plus Sibsagar), showing peaks of incidence in 1925
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Fig. 2. Fever deaths (solid lines, left), kala-azar deaths (dotted lines) and kala-azar cases (solid lines, right) in the Districts of (i) Garo Hills, (ii) Goalpara, (iii) Kamrup, (iv) Nowgong, (v) Darrang, and (vi) Sibsagar between 1882 and 1949. Kala-azar deaths are plotted with respect to the left hand scale running from 0-700. No data on deaths from fevers or kala-azar are available for the Garo Hills 1882-1920. The first epidemic did not reach Sibsagar. Horizontal bars mark the most important years of the first kala-azar epidemic according to ROGERS (1908). Arrows mark years when fever deaths were elevated by famine, earthquake, malaria and influenza. Data from ROGERS (1908), MCCOMB & YOUNG (1924) and SEN GUPTA (1951).
and around 1945. Most cases recorded during the second epidemic occurred in the wake of the 1918-19 influenza outbreak, although a rise in the kala-azar death rate predated influenza in at least 3 Districts. The second inter-epidemic period (interval between major peaks) was shorter and less variable than the first. The number of deaths due to kala-azar was undoubtedly highest during the first epidemic, but the number of cases may have been greater during the second (Fig. 5(i)).

A Compartmental Model of Visceral Leishmaniasis

To explain this pattern of epidemics, we begin by investigating the intrinsic processes. Extrinsic factors, such as other infectious diseases, can later be superimposed upon the basic pattern. Our analytical tool is a simple compartmental model which incorporates the essential biology of anthropoontic (ASHFORD & BETTINI, 1987) Indian L. donovani:

\[
S_{t+1} = S_t + aN_t - (cN_t)x - bS_t - fS_t - (b+d)I_t \quad (1)
\]

\[
I_{t+1} = I_t + (cN_t)x - bS_t - (b+d)I_t - dI_t \quad (2)
\]

\[
R_{t+1} = R_t + cN_t - bR_t \quad (3)
\]

The total human population size \((N_t)\) is the sum of persons in 3 categories: susceptible \((S_t)\), infectious \((I_t)\) and resistant \((R_t)\). Infectious individuals \((I_t)\) all die from kala-azar. \(R_t\) includes people totally resistant to infection, those who quickly and spontaneously recover after infection, and those who harbour parasites for many years without being clinically ill (NAPIER & KRISHNAN, 1931; MOSKOVSKY & SOUTHGATE, 1971; HEYNEMAN, 1971; REES & KAGER, 1987). We assume that infectiousness is correlated with the severity of clinical signs, as it is for canine visceral leishmaniasis (RIOUX et al., 1972), and that none of the various members of \(R_t\) contribute, or have ever contributed, to the infectious pool \((I_t)\). In reality, resisters of the third kind can develop kala-azar when immunocompromised. Such persons may therefore contribute to local persistence of a parasite population, whilst having little influence on the dynamics under consideration here.

The natural death rate \(b\) is much smaller than the disease-induced mortality \(d\). These are two parameters for which reasonable, independent estimates can be obtained. In all simulations, we set \(b\) to 0-037 following Christophers' statement (McCOMBIE YOUNG, 1924) that the expectation of life is 27 years at age 20; \(d=0.95\) is based on the observation that untreated kala-azar patients usually die within 1-2 years (McCOMBIE YOUNG, 1924).

Susceptible and resistant individuals are born at rates \(a\) and \(e\) respectively. Available demographic data show the net growth rate of the human population to be very variable between Districts, and much influenced by death during kala-azar epidemics and immigration between them. In our simulations, \(a+e-b\) varied between 0.018 and 0.025. The latter, representing 2.5% growth per annum in the absence of deaths due to kala-azar, is approximately correct for Darrang during the decade (1912-1921) before the second epidemic (McCOMBIE YOUNG, 1924). There is no substantial information with which we can specify the fraction of persons born resistant; that fraction was chosen to give the best fit to the data, e.g. \(e/(e+a)=0.678\) in Fig. 5.

Among models of insect-borne human diseases (MAY & ANDERSON, 1979; ARON & MAY, 1982; BAILEY, 1982), the transmission term of the system of equations \((1)-(3)\) has two novel features. First, the total human population size is permitted to vary; over the 75 years of interest, kala-azar epidemics imposed significant reductions on a growing human population. Second, we introduce parameter \(x\). Usually, \(N_t\) is constant and the fly population is assumed to take a fixed number of bites in unit time, so \(x=0\) and \(c/N_t\) is the Vectorial Capacity (DYE, 1986). Here, however, we must acknowledge that the total number of infective bites is probably correlated with \(N_t\) for Phlebotomus argentipes is a domestic sandfly which breeds in association with man and domestic animals (ASHFORD & BETTINI, 1987; DYE, 1988). For simplicity, and in the absence of any data, we calculate the total number of infective bites arising from each person in a year as \(cN_t^{-1}\), with \(x\) set at 1 (a constant number of sandflies per person). Constant \(c\), like the fraction \(e/(e+a)\), is chosen to give the best fit to the data.

Sandfly seasonality and the incubation period in man suggest a time-step for the model of one year. The biting activity of flies reaches a maximum after the monsoon, between August and October (HATTI, 1983). With flies biting at random over this period, a fraction \(S/N_t\) of these generate new cases. A new case will normally have an incubation period of 2-6 months (REES & KAGER, 1987). Equating illness with infectiousness, we assume that cases will be infectious to sandflies emerging the following year. However, the long-term dynamic behaviour of the model is very insensitive to variations of a few months in the latent period.

Numerical Analysis

Most theoretical investigations of periodicity in disease incidence deal with fluctuations around equilibrium (MAY & ANDERSON, 1979; ANDERSON, 1982). Here, by contrast, we are concerned with the invasion of a new disease into a human population—with the events which occur before any steady state is reached.

In Fig. 3, the model equations \((1)-(3)\) are used to illustrate the consequences of introducing one infectious individual into a community, and the influence of the model's various parameters. The magnitude of \(x\) is more important than Fig. 3(vi) reveals: set to its usual value of zero, the system is quickly damped after a first epidemic. Clearly, these numerical results have features in common with the data: in particular, there are, within 75 years, 3 epidemics with decreasing inter-epidemic period; it can take many more than 75 years to obtain stable fractions of susceptible, infective and resistant individuals.

To consider in detail the relationship between model and data, we can proceed with a District by District comparison, or treat the entire Province as a
Fig. 3. Incidence of kala-azar for 100 years following the introduction of 1 infectious individual into a community, according to model (1)-(3). Parameter values in (i) are $a=0.0186$, $b=0.037$, $c=7$, $d=0.95$, $e=0.0434$, $x=1$ giving a basic reproductive rate of infection (Anderson, 1982) of $2.13$. Other parameters yield the best fit to the data in Fig. 3(i). $S_0=9 \times 10^6$, $R_0=2.1 \times 10^4$ ensure that the initial structure of the population is compatible with the birth rates of resistant and susceptible persons; (ii) and (iii) show the effects of a 15% increase in $a$ and $c$; (iv) and (v) the effects of a 15% decrease in $d$ and $e$; in (vi) $x$ is increased by 15% while basic reproductive rate is held constant by decreasing $e$. The dotted line in (ii)-(vi) aids comparison with (i).
Fig. 4. (i) Attempts to reproduce with model (1)-(3) the change in the inter-epidemic period observed in Goalpara and Nowgong. Parameters $a=0.0275$, $b=0.037$, $c=3$, $d=0.95$, $e=0.0275$, $x=1$, initial values $S_0=1.5\times10^5$, $I_0=1$, $R_0=1.5\times10^5$ give a first inter-epidemic period of 40 years, equal to that observed in Goalpara, and a second inter-epidemic period of 39 years. (ii) Drug treatment beginning in year 60 (arrowed) reduces the average duration of infectiousness by transferring 15% of cases to the resistant class as soon as they become infected. (iii) Drug treatment beginning in year 60 gives 0.5% of cases a life expectancy of $1/b$ rather than $1/d$ years. (iv) 5% resistants become susceptible during years 58-62 (first year arrowed), the possible consequence of an influenza epidemic. (v) 12% of resistant individuals become susceptible during years 50-54, creating a first inter-epidemic period of 30 years, equal to that observed in Nowgong, but retaining a second inter-epidemic period of 39 years.
Fig. 5. Model (1)-(3) fitted to summed incidence data for the Districts of Goalpara, Kamrup, Nowgong and Darrang. (i) Parameter values as in Fig. 2(i) selected to reproduce the timing of the first 2 epidemics. (ii) The spatial component of the first epidemic simulated by initially exposing 15.8% to infection, and the remaining 84.2% in 1888. (iii) Allowing for the spatial effect, but introducing chronic infectives (1% cases) from 1918 onwards. (iv) Allowing for the effects described in (ii) and (iii) but with influenza exacerbating the second epidemic: 1% of resistant individuals become susceptible during 1921-1925. Parameter values in (ii)-(iv) are $a=0.019$, $b=0.037$, $c=7.5$, $d=0.95$, $e=0.04$, $x=1$. 
The death rate had begun to rise before the influenza epidemic of 1918–1919, in no particular relation to the malaria epidemic of 1908–1909. In summary, we cannot explain the timing of kala-azar epidemics in Assam by considering each District in isolation, and postulating a progenitive and synchronizing role for influenza.

A more successful approach treats, as a first approximation, the whole Province of Assam as a single unit with a thoroughly mixing human population (ignoring District boundaries). Migrant labour for the flourishing tea plantations would have contributed substantially to such mixing (McCOMBIE YOUNG, 1924).

The fitting process is subjective throughout. In Fig. 5(i), for example, emphasis is given at first to the timing (see below) rather than the magnitude of the principal peaks of the first 2 epidemics. At each step, we choose combinations of parameters \( c, e/(e+u) \) and \( N_0 \) and judge the performance of the model by eye. Our aim here is not necessarily to find the best possible combination of parameter values, but to see whether, using the Provincial rather than the District approach, any combination of reasonable parameter values can fairly represent the data.

Fig. 5(i) is the result of fitting model (1)-(3) to summed incidence data for 4 Districts. Information describing the first epidemic is based on reports made by village headmen of deaths due to all fevers. Incidence figures were crudely obtained by subtracting baseline fever death rates mentioned by Rogers (1908) from all fever deaths during the important kala-azar years (bars in Fig. 2), and assuming, with McCOMBIE YOUNG (1924), that these constituted 90% of the cases arising in the previous year. These incidence figures accurately describe the timing of the first epidemic, but not its magnitude.

The actual duration of the first epidemic was rather longer than its simulated counterpart, but unidirectional spread could explain the discrepancy. The spatial effect can be mimicked very simply by exposing the human population to infection in 2 stages (Fig. 5(ii)). Then, with a first inter-epidemic period of 30–40 years, a small number of chronically infectious individuals, produced after 1919, can generate a second inter-epidemic period of 20 years followed by a smaller third epidemic (Fig. 5(iii)). Finally, the severity of the second epidemic could well have been exacerbated by widespread influenza (Fig. 5(iv)).

Conclusion

The data presented by Rogers (1908), McCOMBIE YOUNG (1924) and Sen Gupta (1951) show just 3 major epidemics in Assam between 1875 and 1950, with inter-epidemic periods of 30–45 and 20 years. This interpretation of the early literature differs from the familiar idea of 10-20 year cycles, which may have arisen from a search for regularity.

The first point made by analysis with model (1)-(3) is that we should not be surprised that the second inter-epidemic period was shorter than the first: this is expected even without interference from drugs, natural disasters or other infectious diseases.

Unfortunately, the data used in this analysis are poor. But viewing them on 2 scales, the District and the Province, and over the full 75 years for which...
records are available, gives some of the leverage needed to separate the roles of intrinsic and extrinsic processes. The behaviour of model (1)–(3) is compatible with the view that the epidemic of the 1920s struck a thoroughly mixing human population which was becoming increasingly susceptible to kala-azar. We cannot reconcile its behaviour with the view that the second epidemic was propagated and synchronized by widespread influenza in 1918–1919, though influenza may well have augmented the number of cases.

The third result of our analysis concerns the epidemiological importance of chronically infectious cases of visceral leishmaniasis. These may largely be patients suffering from post kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis (PKDL)—the unhappy sequel of life-saving drug treatment. Even a small fraction of cases developing PKDL could make disease more typically endemic than epidemic in a community. This point bears directly on discussion (e.g. INDIAN COUNCIL FOR MEDICAL RESEARCH, 1983) about the epidemiological role of sub-clinically infectious individuals. Where such persons are numerous and fully infectious, we would not expect epidemic kala-azar. Our results hinge on 3 important assumptions, 2 incorporated and one not incorporated in model (1)–(3): that a large fraction of the human population can resist developing fully infectious kala-azar, that sandfly population size depends upon human population size, and that the epidemic of the 1940s was not provoked by any extrinsic event. We reaffirm that the supporting evidence is minimal; it is qualitative with respect to the first 2. In the case of the third, we have found no accounts to the contrary. However, the function of model (1)–(3), as with many simple models of infectious diseases, is not necessarily to be right, but to provide a framework for further systematic debate.
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